



Report to Economic and Environmental Wellbeing Scrutiny and Policy Development Committee

Wednesday 14th March 2018

Subject: Call in of Individual Cabinet Member decision on “Disposal of Property at Mount Pleasant, Sharrow Lane, Sheffield”

Author of Report: Alice Nicholson, Policy & Improvement Officer
0114 2735065, alice.nicholson@sheffield.gov.uk

Type of item: The report author should tick the appropriate box

Reviewing of existing policy	
Informing the development of new policy	
Statutory consultation	
Performance / budget monitoring report	
Cabinet request for scrutiny	
Full Council request for scrutiny	
Community Assembly request for scrutiny	
Call-in of Cabinet Member decision	X
Briefing paper for the Scrutiny Committee	
Other	

1.0 Background

1.1 On the 23rd February 2018 Cabinet Member for Finance took the following decision:

Decision:

That the Chief Property Officer and the Director of Legal and Governance be authorised to negotiate final sale terms and enter into all necessary legal documentation to facilitate the disposal of Mount Pleasant and Hub buildings on Sharrow Lane to Hermes Care.

1.2 The Call-In notice is attached to this report as Appendix 1. Additional documents for this item include the Individual Cabinet Member Decision Record and the original report of Executive Director Place to Cabinet Member for Finance, note there is a restricted enclosure appendix A to that report and not for publication.

1.3 As per Part 4, section 16 of Sheffield City Council's Constitution, this decision has been called in, preventing implementation of the decision until it has been considered by this Scrutiny Committee.

1.4 The Call-In notice states that the reason for the Call-in is "*That the decision has been based on accurate costings and the appropriate process adhered to.*"

2.0 The Scrutiny Committee is being asked to:

2.1 As per the Scrutiny Procedure rules, scrutinise the decision and take one of the following courses of action:

- (a) refer the decision back to the decision making body or individual for reconsideration in the light of recommendations from the Committee;
- (b) request that the decision be deferred until the Scrutiny Committee has considered relevant issues and made recommendations to the Executive;
- (c) take no action in relation to the called-in decision but consider whether issues arising from the call-in need to be fed back to the decision maker or added to the work programme of an existing Scrutiny Committee;
- (d) if, but only if (having taken the advice of the Monitoring Officer and/or the Chief Finance Officer), the Committee determines that the decision is wholly or partly outside the Budget and Policy Framework, refer the matter, with any recommendations, to the Council after following the procedures in the Budget and Policy Framework Procedure Rules

(If a Scrutiny Committee decides on (a), (b) or (d) as its course of action, there is a continuing bar on implementing the decision).

2.2 The Scrutiny Procedure rules state that if a decision is referred back, it is referred back to the individual or body that made the decision. In this case the decision maker is the Cabinet Member for Finance.

Background Papers

- Appendix 1 - Call in notice dated 27.02.2018
- Individual Cabinet Member Decision Record dated 23.02.2018
- Report of Executive Director, Place to Cabinet Member for Finance dated 22.02.2018

Category of Report: OPEN

SHEFFIELD CITY COUNCIL

CALL-IN PROCESS FOR EXECUTIVE DECISIONS

I SIM STEINKE (Name of Member in Block Capitals)

under the provision of Scrutiny Procedure Rule 16, wish to call-in Item No.

relating to DISPOSAL OF PROPERTY AT MOUNT PLEASANT SHARROW LANE

of the ^{DECISION} meeting of ICM - OLIVIA BLAKE (meeting title)

on 22/2/18 (date) for consideration by the

ECONOMIC & ENVIRONMENTAL WELLBEING Scrutiny Committee.

The relevant Scrutiny Committee will be indicated on the Checklist within the report relating to this matter.

Reason for Call-In

THAT THE DECISION HAS BEEN BASED
ON ACCURATE COSTINGS AND THE
APPROPRIATE PROCESS ADHERED TO.

Signed Sim Steinke Date 27/2/18

I have obtained the following signatures of the other Members who wish to call-in this item:-

Name (in Block Capitals)	Signature
1. <u>ALISON TAYLOR</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>
2. <u>Michael Chaplin</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>
3. <u>MOHAMMAD MAROOF</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>
4. <u>MARK JONES</u>	<u>[Signature]</u>

(NOTE: Scrutiny Procedure Rule 16 requires five Members, including two from the appropriate Scrutiny Committee to 'call-in' an Executive decision for scrutiny. This can be done **up to 4 working days after the decision publication.**

The five signatures required for the call-in process must be submitted by the deadline date, but need not all be on one form.

**Completed forms to be returned to the
Head of Democratic Services (Room G13/14, Town Hall),
by the deadline referred to above.**

**The request will be logged and forwarded to Policy and Improvement Team
for action.**